

Interdot Coulomb repulsion effect on the charge transport of parallel double single electron transistors.

David M.-T. Kuo and P. W. Li

Department of Electrical Engineering, National Central University,

Chung-Li, Taiwan 320, Republic of China

(February 2, 2008)

Abstract

The charge transport behaviors of parallel double single electron transistors (SETs) are investigated by the Anderson model with two impurity levels. The nonequilibrium Keldysh Green's technique is used to calculate the current-voltage characteristics of system. For SETs implemented by quantum dots (QDs) embedded into a thin SiO_2 layer, the interdot Coulomb repulsion is more important than the interdot electron hopping as a result of high potential barrier height between QDs and SiO_2 barrier. We found that the interdot Coulomb repulsion not only leads to new resonant levels but also creates negative differential conductances.

I. INTRODUCTION

The transport properties of a single electron transistor (SET) have been experimentally and theoretically studied by many groups [1-5]. Moreover, the interesting phenomena of SETs such as Kondo effect and Coulomb blockade have been demonstrated experimentally[1-3]. SETs comparing with conventional transistors offer the advantages of good scalability, ultralow power operation for future memory and quantum information applications. Recently, some Si or Ge-dot SETs fabricated by CMOS-compatible process techniques have been proposed and large Coulomb-blockade oscillations at room temperature have also been reported[6-9].

For practical integrated-circuit applications, it is crucial to clarify that if the current-voltage characteristics of a single SET will be affected by the nearby SETs or quantum dots (QDs). The main purpose of this work is to theoretically study the charge transports of SETs sitting in parallel as depicted in Fig. 1, where A-SET (with dot A) is regarded as a detector SET and B-SET (with dot B) is as a target SET. Each SET consists of a single dot and two electrodes (source and drain). The mechanism of interactions between two SETs mainly arises from the interdot interactions such as interdot Coulomb repulsion and interdot electron hopping. The latter is negligible as a result of high SiO_2 barrier. Therefore, we take into account only the interdot Coulomb repulsion in the calculation of current-voltage characteristics. Kim and Hershfield studied the interdot spin-spin interaction effect on the tunnelling current for the parallel double QDs in the Kondo regime[10]. On the contrary, this interdot spin-spin interaction is negligible for the Coulomb blockade regime and therefore, it is not taken into account in this study.

II. ENERGY LEVELS AND PARTICLE INTERACTIONS

To construct the Anderson model simulating the system shown in Fig. 1, we calculate the energy levels, intradot and interdot Coulomb interactions, and interdot electron hopping strengths using the effective mass model. These physical parameters are important in the calculation of current-voltage characteristics. Two cylindrical Ge QDs are embedded into a SiO_2 layer with a finite width W . The

layer is then placed in contact with metals to form an n-i-n structure. The metallic electrodes are considered since they can avoid carriers frozen occurred in the electrodes at low temperature[11]. To calculate the electronic structures of QDs, we consider the cylindrical Ge QDs with a radius R_0 and $h = 2R_0$. The conduction band of Ge has four equivalent valleys aligned along $[1,1,1], [1,-1,-1], [-1,1,-1]$, and $[-1,-1,1]$ [12]. In this calculation, the multi-valleys and image charge effects are ignored for simplicity. Within the effective mass model, the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} & [-\nabla \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*(\rho, z)} \nabla + V_{QD,i}(\rho, z)]\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ & = E_i\psi_i(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $m_e^*(\rho, z)$ denotes the position-dependent electron effective mass. Hence, $m_s^* = m_e$ for SiO_2 and $m_{Ge}^{-1} = 1/3(1/m_\ell + 2/m_t)$ for Ge, where $m_\ell = 1.59m_e$ and $m_t = 0.0823m_e$ are the electron longitudinal and transverse effective mass of bulk material. $V_{QD,i}(\rho, z)$ is approximated by a constant potential V_0 in the QD region. Its value is determined by the conduction band offset between Ge and SiO_2 . Besides the energy levels, the Coulomb interactions should not be neglected for the electron transport through small QDs. The Coulomb interactions are calculated according to

$$U_{i,j} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \int d\mathbf{r}_2 \frac{e^2[n_i(\mathbf{r}_1)n_j(\mathbf{r}_2)]}{\epsilon_0(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2)|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}, \quad (2)$$

where $n_i(\mathbf{r}_1) = |\psi_i(\rho, z, \phi)|^2$ is the particle density of QD, and $\epsilon_0(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2)$ denotes the position-dependent static dielectric constant. For the purpose of constructing approximate wave functions, we place the system in a large cylindrical confining box with the length L and radius R (L and R must be much larger than those of cylindrical Ge QDs). Here $L = 60nm$ and $R = 40nm$ are adopted. We solve the eigenfunctions of the effective-mass Hamiltonian by the Ritz variational method. The wave functions are expanded in a set of basis functions, which are chosen to be products of Bessel functions and sine waves $\psi_{n,\ell,m}(\rho, z, \phi) = J_\ell(\beta_n\rho)e^{i\ell\phi}\sin(k_m(z + L/2))$, where $k_m = m\pi/L$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, J_ℓ is the Bessel function of the order of ℓ and $\beta_n R$ is the n th zero of J_ℓ . The same set of basis functions has been used by Marzin and Bastard[13] to calculate the quantum confined states in a conical QD. The expression of the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) can be readily obtained. Forty sine functions multiplied by fifteen Bessel function for each angular function ($\ell = 0$ or 1) are used to diagonalize

the Hamiltonian. Fig. 2 show the lowest two energy levels of a single dot as a function of radius R_0 for $\ell = 0$. Note that the lowest energy level for $\ell = 1$, not shown in Fig. 2, is higher than the energy level denoted by the dashed line. To determine physical parameters such as intradot Coulomb interactions, and interdot Coulomb interactions, we consider the dot A of radius $R_0 = 4.6nm$ and the dot B of $R_0 = 4.4nm$. The ground state energies for the dot A and dot B are, respectively, $0.108eV$ and $0.118eV$. Fig. 3 shows the intradot Coulomb interactions and interdot interactions as a function of dot separation (ds), which is defined as the distance between the bottom of dot A and dot B. The solid line and dashed line denote the intradot Coulomb interactions of the dot B and dot A ($U_B = 37.18meV$ and $U_A = 36.43meV$), the dotted line denotes the interdot Coulomb interactions (U_{AB}). Obviously, the intradot Coulomb interactions are almost independent of the dot separation. It is also found that the interdot electron hopping energy, t , is smaller than $0.1meV$ for $ds \geq 16nm$. This negligible t is due to high potential barrier between Ge QDs and SiO_2 . This implies that the probability of charge transfer from the dot A to the dot B is small.

III. TUNNELLING CURRENT

Now, we construct the following Hamiltonian to describe the system as shown in Fig. 1,

$$H = \sum_{k,\sigma,\ell} \epsilon_k a_{k,\sigma,\ell}^\dagger a_{k,\sigma,\ell} + \sum_{i=A,B;\sigma} E_i d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger d_{i,\sigma} + \sum_{i,j,\sigma,\sigma'} U_{ij} n_{i,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma'} + \sum_{k,\sigma,\ell,i} V_{k,\ell,i} a_{k,\sigma,\ell}^\dagger d_{i,\sigma} + h.c \quad (3)$$

where $a_{k,\sigma,\ell}^\dagger$ creates an electron of momentum k and spin σ with energy ϵ_k in the ℓ electrode. $d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron inside the SET's QDs with orbital energy E_i , U_{ij} describes the intradot and interdot Coulomb interactions and $V_{k,\ell,i}$ describes the coupling between the band states and QDs. The Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3) is based on the Anderson model with two energy levels[14]. The time-independent tunnelling current from the left leads (source electrodes) can be expressed as (ref. [14]):

$$J = \frac{-2e}{\hbar} \sum_{i=A,B} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \Gamma_{L,i}(\epsilon) \text{Im} \left[\frac{1}{2} G_{i,\sigma}^<(\epsilon) + f_{L,i}(\epsilon) G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon) \right], \quad (4)$$

where $f_{\ell,i}(\epsilon) = (\exp[(\epsilon - \mu_{\ell,i})/k_B T] + 1)^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function of the $\ell = L, R$ lead. $\Gamma_{\ell,i}(\epsilon) = 2\pi \sum_k |V_{k,i}|^2 \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_k)$ denotes the electron tunnelling rate from the i th dot to the ℓ lead. Notations e and $\hbar$ denote the electron charge and Plank's constant. The lesser Green's function $G_{i,\sigma}^<(\epsilon)$ and retarded Green's function $G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)$ are the Fourier transformation of $G_{i,\sigma}^<(t) \equiv i\langle d_i^\dagger(0)d_i(t) \rangle$ and $G_{i,\sigma}^r(t) = -i\theta(t)\langle \{d_{i,\sigma}(t), d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger(0)\} \rangle$, where $\theta(t)$ is a step function, the curly brackets denote the anti-commutator, and the bracket $\langle \dots \rangle$ represents the thermal average. Using Dyson equation, we obtain the lesser Green's function

$$ImG_{i,\sigma}^<(\epsilon) = -\frac{\Gamma_{L,i}(\epsilon)f_{L,i}(\epsilon) + \Gamma_{R,i}(\epsilon)f_{R,i}(\epsilon)}{\Gamma_{L,i}(\epsilon) + \Gamma_{R,i}(\epsilon)} 2Im[G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)]. \quad (5)$$

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (4), we obtain the tunnelling current as

$$J = \frac{-2e}{\hbar} \sum_{i=A,B} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_{i,L}(\epsilon)\Gamma_{i,R}(\epsilon)}{\Gamma_{i,L}(\epsilon) + \Gamma_{i,R}(\epsilon)} [f_{L,i}(\epsilon - \mu_{L,i}) - f_{R,i}(\epsilon - \mu_{R,i})] ImG_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon). \quad (6)$$

For simplicity, these tunnelling rates will be assumed energy-and bias-independent. Therefore, the calculation of tunnelling current is entirely determined by the spectral function $A = ImG_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)$, which is the imaginary part of the retarded Green's function $G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)$. The expression of retarded Green's function $G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)$ can be obtained by the equation of motion technique[15-18]. The lowest order coupling between the electrodes and QDs will be considered in the calculation of $G_{e,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)$. The equation of motion for $G_{i,\sigma}^r(t)$ leads to

$$(\epsilon - E_i + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2})G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon) = 1 + U_i G_{ii}^r(\epsilon) + U_{ij}(G_{ij,1}^r(\epsilon) + G_{ij,2}^r(\epsilon)), \quad (7)$$

where the two particle Green's functions $G_{ii}^r(\epsilon)$, $G_{ij,1}^r(\epsilon)$ and $G_{ij,2}^r(\epsilon)$ arise from the particle correlation and satisfy

$$(\epsilon - (E_i + U_i) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2})G_{ii}^r(\epsilon) = N_{i,-\sigma} + U_{ij}(G_{ij,1}^r(\epsilon) + G_{ij,2}^r(\epsilon)), \quad (8)$$

$$(\epsilon - (E_i + U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2})G_{ij,1}^r(\epsilon) = N_{j,\sigma} + U_i G_{ij,1}^r(\epsilon) + U_{ij} G_{ij,2}^r(\epsilon), \quad (9)$$

and

$$(\epsilon - (E_i + U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2})G_{ij2}^r(\epsilon) = N_{j,-\sigma} + U_i G_{ij2}^r(\epsilon) + U_{ij} G_{ijj}^r(\epsilon). \quad (10)$$

The notations $N_{i,-\sigma}$, $N_{j,\sigma}$ and $N_{j,-\sigma}$ are the electron occupation numbers for the i dot. Note that i is not equal to j in Eqs. (7)-(10). Now the two-particle Green's functions are coupled to the three-particle Green's functions $G_{iji1}^r(\epsilon) = \langle n_{i,-\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} d_{i,\sigma}, d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \rangle$, $G_{iji2}^r(\epsilon) = \langle n_{i,-\sigma} n_{j,-\sigma} d_{i,\sigma}, d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \rangle$, and $G_{ijj}^r(\epsilon) = \langle n_{j,-\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} d_{i,\sigma}, d_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \rangle$. The equation of motion of the three particle Green's functions will lead to coupling with the four particle Green's functions, where the hierarchy terminates. Thus, these three particle Green's functions can be expressed in the following closed form

$$G_{iji1}^r(\epsilon) = N_{i,-\sigma} N_{j,\sigma} \left(\frac{1 - N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + U_i + U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + U_i + 2U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$G_{iji2}^r(\epsilon) = N_{i,-\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma} \left(\frac{1 - N_{j,\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + U_i + U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{j,\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + U_j + 2U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \right), \quad (12)$$

and

$$G_{ijj}^r(\epsilon) = N_{j,-\sigma} N_{j,\sigma} \left(\frac{1 - N_{i,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + 2U_{ij}) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{i,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - (E_i + 2U_{ij} + U_i) + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \right). \quad (13)$$

Substituting Eqs. (11), (12) and (13) into Eqs (9) and (10), after some algebras, the retarded Green's function $G_{i,\sigma}^r$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon) = & (1 - N_{i,-\sigma}) \left\{ \frac{1 - (N_{j,\sigma} + N_{j,-\sigma}) + N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \right. \\ & + \frac{N_{j,\sigma} + N_{j,-\sigma} - 2N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - U_{ij} + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - 2U_{ij} + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \Big\} \\ & + N_{i,-\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1 - (N_{j,\sigma} + N_{j,-\sigma}) + N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - U_i + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \right. \\ & + \frac{N_{j,\sigma} + N_{j,-\sigma} - 2N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - U_i - U_{ij} + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{j,\sigma} N_{j,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - U_i - 2U_{ij} + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that we ignored the electron-electron correlation effect on the tunnelling rates Γ_i in Eq. (14). The approximation used to obtain Eq. (14) is adequate for the Coulomb blockade but not for the

Kondo effect. In Eq. (14) there are two main branches, one branch describes one-particle propagation process and the other describes two-particle propagation process. In each branch there are three channels with different probabilities. That the total probability of these channels is one indicates the satisfaction of sum rule $1 = \int (d\epsilon/\pi) |ImG_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon)|$. Refs. [16] and [17] calculated the tunnelling current of vertically coupled QD including interdot Coulomb repulsion and employed the mean-field scheme to solve the retarded Green's function, in which the resonant energy levels are found to be dependent on the occupation numbers of N_i and N_j . However, the physical interpretation for those resonant energy levels becomes ambiguity. In our calculation, the poles of Eq. (14) do not depend on electron occupation numbers, which determine the probability for each channel. The electron occupation numbers of Eq. (14) can be solved in a self-consistent way using

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i,\sigma} &= \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} ImG_{i,\sigma}^<(\epsilon) \\ &= - \int \frac{d\epsilon}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_{i,L}f_L(\epsilon) + \Gamma_{i,R}f_R(\epsilon)}{\Gamma_{i,L} + \Gamma_{i,R}} ImG_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$N_{i,\sigma}$ is limited to the region of $0 \leq N_{i,\sigma} \leq 1$. Electron occupation number N_A (N_B), according to Eq. (14), affects the tunnelling current through dot B (A). Eq. (14) is main result of this work. Based on Eq. (14), we calculate the current-voltage characteristic curves.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we perform the detail numerical calculation of I-V characteristics. For simplicity, we assume that tunnelling rates of $\Gamma_1 = 0.2meV$ and $\Gamma_2 = 0.2meV$ are bias-independent. Meanwhile the chemical potentials of four electrodes are assumed to be $5meV$ below the energy level E_A for zero bias. The electron Coulomb interactions can be regarded as bias-independent due to very strong confinement effect from the barrier height of $V_0 = 3.5eV$. To determine the interdot Coulomb repulsion, we consider the interdot separation distance $ds = 16nm$, which offers $U_{A,B} = 11.06meV$. Substituting Eq. (14) into Eq. (15), the electron occupation numbers N_A and N_B (for fixed electron spin) could be solved in a self-consistent way. Figure 4 shows N_A and N_B as a function of applied voltage V_a at zero temperature. We consider zero temperature through out this article. In order to exhibit the interdot

Coulomb repulsion effect, the dashed lines for $U_{A,B} = 0$ are also plotted in Fig. 4. In the absence of $U_{A,B}$, the retarded Green's function of Eq. (14) has only two poles

$$G_{i,\sigma}^r(\epsilon) = \frac{1 - N_{i,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}} + \frac{N_{i,-\sigma}}{\epsilon - E_i - U_i + i\frac{\Gamma_i}{2}}.$$

Therefore, the staircase behavior of N_i is generated by the on-site Coulomb interactions U_i . Owing to $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R$, N_i reaches around 0.33 when the applied voltage crosses the energy level E_i , but not sufficiently to overcome the charging energy U_i . This is the well-known Coulomb blockade effect. When the applied voltage overcomes the Coulomb blockade, N_i reaches to 0.5. These fractional occupation numbers are the typical statistic feature for an open system.

For $U_{A,B} \neq 0$, N_i shows a complicated behavior. We see that the "switch-on" voltage V_{a1} for B-SET is not changed in the presence of tunnelling current of A-SET. Nevertheless, the original occupation number $N_B = 0.33$ (for $U_{A,B} = 0$) is reduced as the value of $N_B = 0.22$. Once N_B is finite, the probability of resonant energy level E_A is reduced. Consequently, the value of N_A is suppressed. When the applied voltage V_a reaches the resonant energy level $E_A + U_{AB}$, N_A increases its value again. As the applied voltage is modulated to V_{a2} , the value of N_B increases since the resonant level $E_B + U_{AB}$ is opened. N_A is slightly reduced at V_{a2} . The reduction of N_A is small at V_{a2} because the one particle process for N_A is determined by the factor $(1 - N_{A,-\sigma})(1 - N_{B,\sigma}N_{B,-\sigma})$, where $N_{B,\sigma}N_{B,-\sigma}$ is around 0.09. When the applied voltage is tuned to $V_a = 42mV$ for N_A and $V_a = 53mV$ for N_B , the two particle process is occurred for both N_A and N_B . The values of N_A and N_B that are larger than 0.33 are manifested results of exhibiting two particle process. Obviously, N_A and N_B are still suppressed by the interdot Coulomb repulsion, even though the applied voltages overcome the on-site Coulomb interactions.

Once the electron occupation numbers N_A and N_B are determined, the tunnelling current can be calculated by substituting Eq. (14) into Eq. (6). Figure. 5 exhibits the tunnelling current and differential conductance (defined as $dJ(V_a)/dV_a$) as a function of applied voltage V_a . Diagrams (a) and (b) display the current through the dot A and dot B, respectively. We see that the interdot Coulomb repulsion not only creates new resonant channels for the source electrons transferring into the drain electrode, but also yields negative differential conductances. Results of Fig. 5 clearly exhibit

the suppression of tunnelling current by the interdot Coulomb repulsion. The negative differential conductance through the dot A(B) is generated by a fact that the applied voltage is not sufficient to overcome the Coulomb repulsion from the charge resided at the dot B (A).

Finally, we study the dot B situated at the condition of $\Gamma_{B,L} \gg \Gamma_{B,R}$. From experimental point of view, this is due to extremely asymmetrical barrier width. For the dot B with the condition of $\Gamma_{B,L} \gg \Gamma_{B,R}$, the B-SET behaves as a closed system. We assume that the dot B can be manipulated as the following three charge states; the empty state $|B, 0\rangle$, one electron state with spin up or down $|B, \uparrow\rangle$ ($|B, \downarrow\rangle$) and two electron states $|B, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$. Figure. 6 shows the tunnelling current and differential conductance as a function of applied voltage for various charge states of the dot B; solid line denotes $|B, 0\rangle$, dashed line denotes $|B, \uparrow\rangle$ ($|B, \downarrow\rangle$) and dotted line denotes $|B, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$. The separation of the first three peaks clearly displays the interdot Coulomb repulsion $U_{A,B}$. This indicates that the "switch-on" voltage of A-SET depends on the charge number of dot B. Obviously, the dot B situated in a closed system will significantly affects the "switch-on" voltage of A-SET. This also demonstrates that an SET is a highly sensitive charge detector.

V. SUMMARY

For parallel double SETs implemented by QDs embedded into SiO_2 , the interdot Coulomb repulsion is more important than the interdot electron hopping as a result of high potential barrier. The interdot Coulomb repulsion not only creates new resonant energy levels but also produces negative differential conductances. Nevertheless, note that the "switch-on" voltage of SETs is not changed when both two SETs behave as an open system. If one of SETs such as B-SET in this study behaves as a closed system, and then the role of B-SET acts like a trap. The "switch-on" voltage of A-SET will be dependent on the charges resided at the QD of B-SET. This implies that the precisely control of barrier width in the implementation of circuit integrated by SETs is very crucial.

This work was supported by National Science Council of Republic of China Contract No. NSC-93-2215-E-008-014 and NSC 93-2120-M-008-002

REFERENCES

- [1]Goldhabar-Gordon D, Shtrikman H, Mahalu D, Abusch-magder D, Meirav U, and Kastner M A 1998 Nature **391**, 156
- [2]Cronenwett S M,Oosterkamp T H, and Kouwenhoven L P 1998 Science **281**, 540
- [3]Scott-Thomas J H F, Field S B, Kastner M A, Smith H I, and Antoniadis D A 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett, **62**, 583
- [4]Meir Y, Wingreen N S, and Lee P A 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett.**70**, 2601
- [5]Jauho A P, Wingreen N S, and Meir Y 1994 Phys. Rev. B **50** 5528
- [6]Zhuang L, Guo L, and Chou S Y 1998 Appl. Phys. Lett. **72**, 1205
- [7]Saitoh M,Takahashi N, Ishikuro H and Hiramoto T 2001 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.**40**, 2010
- [8]Tan Y T, Kamiya T, Zak D, Ahmed H 2003 J. Appl. Phys. **94**, 633
- [9]Li P W, W. M. Liao, Kuo D M T, Lin S W, Chen P S, Lu S C, and Tsai M J 2004 Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 1532
- [10]Kim T S and Hershfield S 2001 Phys. Rev. B 63, 245326
- [11]Qin G G, Li A P and Zhang Y X 1996 Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11122
- [12]Bir L G and Pikus G E, Symmetry and Strain-Induced Effects in Semiconductors, (John Wiley-Sons, New York).
- [13]Marzin J Y and Bastard G 1994 Solid State Commun. **92**, 437
- [14]Haug H and Jauho A P, Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics o Semiconductor (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996)
- [15]Niu C, Liu L J, and Lin T H 1995 Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5130
- [16]You J Q and Zheng H Z 1999 Phys. Rev. B **60** 13314
- [17]Lambda S and Joshi S K 2000 Phys. Rev. B **62** , 1580
- [18]Sun Q F and Guo H 2002 Phys. Rev. B **66**, 155308

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: Schematic diagram for parallel double single electron transistors.

Fig. 2: The lowest two energy levels of a cylindrical Ge/ SiO_2 QD for $\ell = 0$ as a function of dot radius R_0 .

Fig. 3: Particle Coulomb interaction strengths as a function of dot separation ds .

Fig. 4: Electron occupation numbers as a function of applied voltage.

Fig. 5: Tunnelling current and differential conductance as a function of applied voltage.

Fig. 6: Tunnelling current and differential conductance through the dot A for various charge states of the dot B.